

1 G. HOPKINS GUY, III (State Bar No. 124811)
hopguy@orrick.com
2 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (State Bar No. 173985)
nchatterjee@orrick.com
3 MONTE COOPER (State Bar No. 196746)
mcooper@orrick.com
4 THERESA A. SUTTON (State Bar No. 211857)
tsutton@orrick.com
5 YVONNE P. GREER (State Bar No. 214072)
ygreer@orrick.com
6 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
1000 Marsh Road
7 Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: 650-614-7400
8 Facsimile: 650-614-7401

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10 THE FACEBOOK, INC. and MARK ZUCKERBERG

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION
14

15 THE FACEBOOK, INC. and MARK
16 ZUCKERBERG,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 CONNECTU, INC. (formerly known as
20 CONNECTU, LLC), PACIFIC
21 NORTHWEST SOFTWARE, INC.,
22 WINSTON WILLIAMS, WAYNE CHANG,
23 and DAVID GUCWA,

24 Defendants.
25
26
27
28

Case No. 5:07-CV-01389-RS

**PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL
RESPONSE TO FINNEGAN'S
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
COUNSEL FOR WINSTON
WILLIAMS**

Date: January 23, 2008

Time: 9:30 A.M.

Judge: Honorable Richard Seeborg

1 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for
2 Winston Williams is moot. The sole basis for Finnegan's request was that it had lost contact with
3 Williams and could no longer represent him effectively. On January 5, 2008, however, Plaintiffs
4 received a declaration from Williams, as well as Pacific Northwest Software, on pleading paper
5 from the Finnegan firm.¹ Williams executed his declaration on January 2, 2008 – the day
6 Plaintiffs' opposition to the present motion was due.² It appears that the Finnegan firm is
7 communicating with Williams.

8 Williams' declaration, which Plaintiffs received on January 5, 2008, was the first that
9 Plaintiffs' counsel knew that the Finnegan firm was once again in contact with Williams. The
10 Finnegan firm had numerous opportunities to advise Plaintiffs of this possibility prior to their
11 response brief being due. Specifically, Plaintiffs' counsel and the Finnegan firm communicated
12 several times, including once by telephone, over the preceding two weeks. Finnegan never
13 advised Plaintiffs that it had located and communicated with Williams.

14 Defendants' failure to advise Plaintiffs of the recent contact caused needless work and
15 expenditures. Plaintiffs were required to engage resources during a lightly staffed holiday period
16 to prepare an opposition memorandum based upon facts that defendants had presented but knew
17 had become untrue. To that end, absent Court guidance, Plaintiffs will separately file a motion
18 seeking its costs and fees associated with its responsive papers.

19 Because counsel for Williams has now resumed contact with its client, Facebook believes
20 the current Motion to Withdraw is moot.

21 Dated: January 7, 2008

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

22 /s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/

23 Theresa A. Sutton
24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25 THE FACEBOOK, INC. and MARK
ZUCKERBERG

26 ¹ Plaintiffs have attached copies of the declarations, which do not appear to comply with the
27 Court's December 12, 2007, Order compelling further responses to Plaintiffs' interrogatories.
Plaintiffs will file a separate motion concerning these declarations.

28 ² The Certificate of Service indicates Williams' declaration was served only by United States
Mail on January 2, 2008.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

/s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/
Theresa A. Sutton